

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,707	08/05/2003	W. Jean Dodds	58034-011800	8325	
3377. 7590 (94)02009 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP (14)02 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SANTA MONICA. CA 90404			EXA	EXAMINER	
			WHALEY, PABLO S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1631			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/635,707	DODDS, W. JEAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PABLO WHALEY	1631	

PABLO WHALEY 1631	31	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	OWANCE.	
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appea application, application, application in a first timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or of application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within periods:	al. To avoid aban other evidence, w 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fe under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally ses et for thin (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee. The appropria set in the final Office ne final rejection, ev	te extension fee e action; or (2) as en if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed w filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 4 	d dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		
 \(\)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will no \(\)\) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); \(\)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	elow);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing appeal; and/or	g or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected of NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Complian	int Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely non-allowable claim(s)		
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) } \subseteq will be enhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 8-10, 12, 14, 17-18, 25, 40, 41, 43, 44, and 46-49</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or off was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The afficiavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/is showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 C.	l/or appellant fails CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condi- See Continuation Sheet.	dition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:		
/John S. Brusca/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16	631	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's amendment After Final, filed 01/27/2009, will not be entered because the amendments to the claims introduce new limitations. For example, claim 46 now requies a new limitation directed to "the assessment" of an adult optimal level. These limitations were not previously recited in the claims filed 08/12/2008. Furthermore, the limitation directed to "the assessment" of an adult optimal level introduces new antecedent basis issues.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All the arguments are directed to the amended claims. As the amendment has not been entered, the arguments have not been considered and are moot.